Dev (1950) Deptt
Memorandum
279
dated 29.4.50

FOR MYSORE STATE

Dorset)

Encl no 12206 J/50-1

17.2.50

TO The Board of Revs
(with encl)

O/C

DPP
1/
20.2.50

ER. mm on 5/4/50
NR 10/5

7th November 1947

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA:—"It is not Madras Government alone which says that. We find several other provinces too say like that. The ten per cent that is proposed is only an ideal to be aimed at."

JANAB M. S. ABDUL MAJID:—"Is it not a fact that by taking the people into confidence by giving all information with regard to food policy, the Government will get greater co-operation with regard to production of food in the province?"

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA:—"Already several Press notes have been issued concerning food; some of the decisions have been made public and we have invited public co-operation; and as and when certain points are decided, they are published."

JANAB M. A. MUHAMMAD IBRAHIM:—"May I inquire whether the Government are going to reduce the consumer's price in preference to procurement price as a result of the decision of the conference?"

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA:—"The whole idea is to reduce the consumer's price or release price. Whether it should be reduced at the procurement stage or at the intermediate stage is being gone into at present. Ultimately, our object is to reduce the consumer's price."

JANAB MUHAMMAD RAZA KHAN:—"I should like to know the real difficulty of the Hon. Food Minister which made him say that he cannot go beyond three per cent price level."

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA:—"We are not keeping to the limit of three per cent reduction for ever. That is one of the proposals made at present. That does not prevent us from increasing the percentage up to ten per cent, if it is possible. We are trying to reduce it wherever it is possible."

SRI T. VISWANATHAM:—"In view of the answers given by the Hon. Food Minister here, may I ask him whether there is left undisclosed any portion of the proceedings of the Food Ministers' Conference?" (Laughter.)

SRI A. VEDARATNAM PILLAI:—"In view of the statement of the ex-Premier of Madras, made recently, will the Government consider decontrol of food?"

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA:—"As I have already said, decontrol is not an easy question to be decided. If and when it comes, we shall be very glad to do so."

SRI B. VENKATANARAYANA REDDI:—"Can the Government say whether our paddy and foodgrain prices are higher or lower than those of other provinces?"

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA:—"At least our procurement price is very low compared with other provinces. With regard to other items of expenditure, I think, we compare very favourably with other provinces."

L.1154/50 3

8/4

L.2387

REMINDER. DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
J Section. (Mines)

To

The Board of Revenue
Chepauk

I am directed to invite your attention to Govt Endt 12266 J/50-1 & 17.2.50 and to request that this reminder may be returned ~~at once~~ by 10/5/50 with information as to:

(i) the stage at which the matter stands, and

(ii) the date by which a reply may be expected.

for ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

2/5/50

SUBJECT.

Mines - Mining - (Bellary)
Certificate of Approval - Application for renewal - Sri C. Chenniappa.

4 12266 Reply

To The Asst. Secretary
Dev. Dept Madras.

Sir,

Resubmitted D 32308.

2. The Collector of Bellary has been addressed and his report is awaited. in the matter The Board's report will be submitted as soon as it is received from the Collector

Supdt

D W J [illegible]
Addl. Asst. Secretary
Board of Revenue, Madras

N.R. 17.5.50

Revenue Department.
Subject

K/36258

Mines - Certificate of approval - (Bellary) Renewal for 1950 Application of Sri G. Chinnappa of Bellary - Recommended -

Madras. Bd's Ref.L.1156/50-2, Dated:-- 18--4-

Sri N. C. Thomas, B. A.,
Joint Secretary, Board of Revenue.

Sri V. N. Kudva, I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Commercial Taxes.

p.10 below

Read:- Government Endt. No.12266 J/50-1, Development, dated 17-2-1950.

below

From the Collector of Bellary, Ref.No.Rc.3340/50 A1, dated 7-4-1950.

NC

Ref:- Board's Ref.No.L.1156.50.1, dated 25-2-1950.

Sri G. Chennappa, Mine Owner, Bellary, was granted a renewed certificate of approval for the year 1950 in this office L.Dis. No.152/49.A1., dated 26-2-1949 to prospect for and mine Manganese, iron, Red Redoxide, mica and asbestos. The validity of the certificate expired by 31-12-1949. He has now applied for its renewal for the year 1950. He has credited the necessary fees of Rs.50 (i.e., Rs.10 and Rs.40 in chalan No.1155 dated 16-12-1949 and 4370 dated 20-12-1949 respectively), as required by Rule 10 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1949. As he is a fit person to prospect for and mine the several minerals, I recommend that the certificate of approval may be renewed for the year 1950.

NC

p.9 below

p.13 below

p.11 below

2. The enclosures received with Board's reference cited above are resubmitted.

***

REFERENCE:--

Submitted to the Government.

2. The Board recommends that the Certificate of approval granted to Sri G. Chenappa of Bellary may be renewed for the year 1950.

for Joint Secretary.

To The Secretary to Government, Development Department, Madras.

with encls.

rm.19/4.

Encl Voucher Rs 3340/50

Rs 3340/50

To The Board of Referees
Nasik

TELE PHONE:

TELEGRAMS: GADIGI CHENNAPPA.

Gadig Mineral Mining Co.







Date 20-12-1949.

To

The ~~District Collector~~ The Secretary to the Government of Madras
~~Bellary.~~ Development Department.

12266

Ref:- Renewal of my mining Approval-certificate for 1950.

(under the Mineral Concession Rules 1949) + Rs.40/- (Total Rs.50/-)

Herewith enclosed 2 chalans for Rs.10/-, towards the renewal fees for your reference.,

I have worked about 2000 tons of Manganese-ore at Thammaraguddi mines (Kudligi Taluk) survey No.1.

I have also woked about 500 tons at Jiganalli mines (kudligi taluk) survey No.1 part A.

I have laid roads in the mines for transport facilities and installed railway sidings. One between Swaminalli and Yeshwantnagar, known as Thummaraguddi siding and another at Swaminalli railway station.

Recently I have been given a iron mine (tentatively) for leease at Sankalapuram, Jambunathdhalli and Kariganur village (Hospet taluk). I have worked nearly 10000 tons and have applied for a railway siding to the railway Board.

Hence I request you to renew my mining-approval-certificate for the year 1950 at an early date as usual.

Thanking you.

Yours faithfully,

G. Channappa



Development Department

Endorsement No. 12266 I/50-1 dated 17-2-50

Forwarded to the Board of Revenue for remarks.

Assistant Secretary to Government.

To The Board of Revenue (with charans)

Renewal fee Rs
for Attested 40 VII
certificate for 50 Issued
and

Refer
for only

Attested Kaibaratte

Fat is only

Rs 40/- Fat only

Attested

Page 2

Received
Cheque
Rs
for approval Certified
Line
1950.
VIII L R
Miscellaneous
Sadiqi Company
Bellary
Rupees Twenty

Allum Karibasappa
adan 10/- Ten only
16/12/49
S-T. 16/12/49

[Grn. 12266 J/60]

98/115

Development Department (J)

Memo No. 279 Development dated 29-4-50

Sub:- Mines - Mining - Certificate of Approval - (Bella
Sri G. Chinnappa -
Renewal for 1950 - Sanctioned.

Ref:- Board's Ref. L 1156/50-2 dt 18-4-50

Under Rules 8 and 9 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1949, Sri G. Chinnappa is approved as a fit person to prospect for and mine all major minerals except natural gas and petroleum in the Madras Province.

2. The following notification will be published in the Fort St. George Gazette:

"In exercise of the powers conferred by the

P.T.O.

12266

Rules in Chapter II of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1949, as subsequently amended, H.E. the Governor of Madras hereby renews for 1950 the Certificate of Approval held by Sri G. Chinnappa, Mine owner. Gadigi Mineral Mining Co. Bellary.

This renewed certificate will be valid for the whole of the Madras State and cover all minerals except petroleum and natural gas and shall be valid until the 31st December 1950."

To the Bd. of Rev.
" Supdt., Govt. Press
for publication
(Para 2 only)
~~Applicant~~
Sri G. Chinnappa
Mine owner
Gadigi Mineral Mining Co.
Bellary

Dept.

A/ Entries have been made in the Regr of Certificate of Approval The draft memo may now issue

28/4/59 29.4.59

29/4

24th November 1949]

MR. SPEAKER:—" A number of supplementary questions have already been put. The hon. Member should have asked for circulation of this answer at the very beginning, and not now."

SRI D. V. RAMASWAMI:—" In view of the immensity of the work in which the Food Minister and the Government are engaged, is it not necessary that some of these routine matters should be delegated to the Board of Revenue at this stage, Sir? "

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA:—" That is why we have done it, Sir."

SRI K. BHASHYAM:—" May I know, Sir, whether the Government have issued any rules as to the procedure to be adopted by the Board of Revenue in hearing these appeals—whether they are to be heard as judicial or as mere executive appeal? "

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA:—" This is not a new work, Sir. This is the ordinary work which has been carried on for so long, and the same procedure will be followed hereafter too."

JANAB MUHAMMAD RAZA KHAN:—" May I ask the Hon. the Leader of the House to say on behalf of the Premier when when a good principle is enunciated it should be confined only to one Minister or should it apply to other Ministers also? "

JANAB HAJI MOULVI ABDUL KHADER JAMALI:—" May I ask the Hon. Minister, Sir, whether it is not a fact that the Board of Revenue never hears the parties, and decides appeals without giving an opportunity to the parties to represent their grievances? "

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA:—" They are receiving all the petitions from the districts. They must necessarily examine all the petitions before they dispose of them. I do not think there are any cases where they have merely disposed them off without a hearing or without going into the matter properly."

JANAB MOHAMED ABDUL SALAM:—" Sir, will the Hon. Minister be pleased to say that this change was not effected for economy and retrenchment? The first sentence of the Government Order (a copy of which I have with me now) reads ' as a measure of effecting economy in expenditure it was suggested that the powers exercised by the Government should be decentralized '. May I ask whether this was done for the convenience of the Hon. Minister or whether, as the Government Order, says, it was done for economy? "

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA:—" Originally the idea started with retrenchment proposals. But afterwards when it was examined, there was not much to be said on that side. But the main reason why it has been done now is, as I have already explained, to save the time and also the work of the Ministers and Secretaries."